1-16-07

8/		F	Application Number	10/602,96	54
I 2 2007 TRANSMITTAL		F	iling Date	June 24, 2003	
FORM sed for all correspondence after initial filing)			irst Named Inventor	Tommy L. Jamison et al.	
			Art Unit	2863	
		E	Examiner Name	Demetrius	s R. Pretlow
otal Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorney Docket Number	1322-000158	
		ENCLOSU	JRES (check all that apply)		
Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Drawings		After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)	
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply		Petition			l Communication to TC I Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)		Comment on Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance and return postcar	
Information Discl	osure Statement				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposition Account No. 08-0750. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclose			
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application			710004111110. 00 0700	, / t dopnouto	sopy or time or control or control
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53					
	SIGNA	TURE OF AP	PLICANT, ATTORNEY, C	R AGENT	
Firm or Harness, Dickey & F Individual name		Pierce, P.L.C.	Attorney Name Michael D. Zalobsky		eg. No. 5,512
Signature	~	25	300		
Date	January 12, 2007				
	C	ERTIFICATE	OF TRANSMISSION/MA	ILING	
ervice with sufficier	his correspondence nt postage as first 3-1450 on the date s	class mail in a	ile transmitted to the USPTC n envelope addressed to: 0	or deposited commissioner	with the United States Post for Patents, P.O. Box 145
Typed or printed name Claudia J. Ric			<u> </u>	Express Mail Label No.	EV 757 778 729 US
Signature		\	ard	Luber 110.	

This collection of information is required by 37 FR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.





I THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/602,964

Filing Date:

June 24, 2003

Applicant:

Tommy L. Jamison et al.

Group Art Unit:

2863

Examiner:

Demetrius R. Pretlow

Title:

LASER ALIGNMENT METHOD AND APPARATUS

Attorney Docket:

1322-000158

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Applicants gratefully note the allowance of Claims 1-10 and 13-17 and 19-22 in the present application. Reasons for Allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear [the Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104 (e). In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. While Applicants believe the claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or

that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the Reasons for Allowance do not separately address the subject matter of each claim, Applicants do not acquiesce to any inference that any one claim does not present patentable subject matter independent of any other claim.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 12, 2007

By:

Michael D. Zalobsky Reg. No. 45,512

Paul A. Keller Reg. No. 29,752

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

MDZ